

Facilities Steering Committee Collegial Consultation Survey

N=13

2015-2016

1. I have the opportunity to:

a. Participate in a process to review the committee's purpose.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.77
Yes	10	76.92	<div style="width: 76.92%;"></div>
Mostly	3	23.08	<div style="width: 23.08%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 0</i>			

b. Review the Making Decisions at El Camino College document during a committee meeting.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.92
Yes	11	91.67	<div style="width: 91.67%;"></div>
Mostly	1	8.33	<div style="width: 8.33%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 1</i>			

c. Participate in goal-setting for the committee.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.54
Yes	8	61.54	<div style="width: 61.54%;"></div>
Mostly	4	30.77	<div style="width: 30.77%;"></div>
No	1	7.69	<div style="width: 7.69%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 0</i>			

d. Review progress on the committee's annual goals.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.38
Yes	7	53.85	<div style="width: 53.85%;"></div>
Mostly	4	30.77	<div style="width: 30.77%;"></div>
No	2	15.38	<div style="width: 15.38%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 0</i>			

2. Overall, I:

a. Have a good understanding of the committee's purpose and responsibilities.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.77
Yes	10	76.92	<div style="width: 76.92%;"></div>
Mostly	3	23.08	<div style="width: 23.08%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 0</i>			

b. Have a good understanding of my role on the committee.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.69
Yes	9	69.23	<div style="width: 69.23%;"></div>
Mostly	4	30.77	<div style="width: 30.77%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 0</i>			

c. Received a copy of meeting agendas sufficiently in advance to review (and consult, where applicable).

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.00
Yes	12	100.00	<div style="width: 100%;"></div>
Mostly	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 1</i>			

d. Received supporting materials in advance to review (and consult, where applicable).

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.67
Yes	8	66.67	<div style="width: 66.67%;"></div>
Mostly	4	33.33	<div style="width: 33.33%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 1</i>			

e. Have a regular practice of communicating with the constituents whom I represent.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.89
Yes	8	88.89	<div style="width: 88.89%;"></div>
Mostly	1	11.11	<div style="width: 11.11%;"></div>
No	0	0.00	<div style="width: 0%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 4</i>			

f. Received an orientation to this committee when I began my term of membership (e.g., meeting or correspondence with committee chair or other member).

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.33
Yes	5	55.56	<div style="width: 55.56%;"></div>
Mostly	2	22.22	<div style="width: 22.22%;"></div>
No	2	22.22	<div style="width: 22.22%;"></div>
<i>Not sure or N/A 4</i>			

How did the committee accomplish the following:

4. To what degree did this committee fulfill its purpose:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.50
Very well	7	58.33	
Somewhat well	4	33.33	
Not very well	1	8.33	
<i>Not sure</i>	1		

7. Continue the implementation of the Facilities Master Plan.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.23
Completed	5	38.46	
Mostly completed	6	46.15	
Partially completed	2	15.38	
Not started	0	0.00	
<i>Not sure</i>	0		

9. Communicate current and anticipated facility changes with the greater ECC community.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.00
Completed	4	33.33	
Mostly completed	4	33.33	
Partially completed	4	33.33	
Not started	0	0.00	
<i>Not sure</i>	1		

To what degree does this committee fulfill the following:

a. This committee's purpose statement incorporates the spirit of Strategic Initiative C.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.62
Very well	8	61.54	
Somewhat well	5	38.46	
Not very well	0	0.00	
<i>Not sure</i>	0		

6. Apply sustainable practices to maintain and enhance the College's facilities and infrastructure including grounds, buildings and technology.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.90
Completed	2	20.00	
Mostly completed	6	60.00	
Partially completed	1	10.00	
Not started	1	10.00	
<i>Not sure</i>	3		

8. Review and update the Facilities Master Plan to ensure alignment with the new Educational Master Plan. Recommend revisions as necessary.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.92
Completed	3	23.08	
Mostly completed	7	53.85	
Partially completed	2	15.38	
Not started	1	7.69	
<i>Not sure</i>	0		

10. Develop a set of criteria for the review of facilities requests rising through the annual prioritization process.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.50
Completed	1	10.00	
Mostly completed	5	50.00	
Partially completed	2	20.00	
Not started	2	20.00	
<i>Not sure</i>	3		

b. This committee actualizes Strategic Initiative C.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.31
Very well	5	38.46	
Somewhat well	7	53.85	
Not very well	1	7.69	
<i>Not sure</i>	0		

14. In terms of how well it 1) fulfills its purpose, 2) functions effectively, and 3) works actively toward its goals, what grade would you assign this committee?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.77
A Excellent	1	7.69	
B Good	9	69.23	
C Average	2	15.38	
D Poor	1	7.69	
F Fail	0	0.00	

16. Location of committee:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.00
Compton Center	0	0.00	
El Camino College	13	100.00	

18. Your role on this committee:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1.31
I represent a constituent group faculty staff students managers confidentials	9	69.23	
I serve as chair or in a supporting role	4	30.77	
Other e.g. guest presenter spectator	0	0.00	

15. Please indicate the consultation committee you evaluated in this survey?

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.85
Academic Senate	0	0.00	
Calendar Committee	0	0.00	
College Council or Consultative Council	2	15.38	
Facilities Steering	11	84.62	
Planning and Budgeting	0	0.00	
Technology Committee	0	0.00	

17. Your length of time as a member:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 2.23
0-2 years	3	23.08	
3-5 years	4	30.77	
More than 5 years	6	46.15	

Facilities Steering Committee Collegial Consultation Survey

Question: 3. Comments on effective committee functioning.

Response

we are transitioning from a report out committee to a discussion and feedback committee but we are not there yet

Question: 5. If you marked somewhat or not very well for the committee purpose, please share your concerns, being as specific as possible.

Response

I don't see any of this happening. I don't see the link to Program Planning--that feels like we're getting into the weeds and I've never seen any information from program review or program planning with which to judge. Should we even be involved at a program level? I'm not sure about the process for making recommendations for the facilities master plan--part of the committee orientation could include how this would work. How we are to distribute information to our constituents is unclear--we might discuss this.

I don't think the information is well distributed.

It feels like many of the decisions of this committee are already made prior to us meeting and we just tend to review these decisions rather than be part of them.

The committee has focused on reviewing the status of construction projects rather than providing input for program planning or making FMP recommendations.

I believe some of us are still searching for the best method of disseminating information to our constituents.

Question: 11. Comments on goals.

Response

6 (is this goal #1???) - I'm not sure this has come up. 7. - We seem to spend most of our time receiving updates on this. 8. - Reviewed once. 9. - I have no idea how this is supposed to work but the campus would benefit from wider distribution of updates. 10. - A worthy goal but did not happen.

Question: 13. If you marked somewhat or not very well, please share your concerns, being specific as possible.

Response

See comments above.

I feel there should have been a special meeting of the Facilities Steering Committee to address the public's concerns over the new Parking Structure C.

The committee doesn't make recommendations and has not engaged in decision making.

The meetings are more like a "lecture format" with one person speaking and other people asking questions versus a collaborative, group discussion.

Question: 19. If there is anything else you would like to share about your experience on the Facilities Steering Committee, please provide them in space below.

Response

The committee meetings are well organized, informative, and focused.

We need to rethink our purpose and goals or better align the meetings with our goals.