

## PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND

#### FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

June 30, 2015



# PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND MEASURE E FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

#### June 30, 2015

#### **CONTENTS**

Financial Audit of Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund:	<b>Page</b>
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Balance Sheet - Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance - Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-12
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	13-14
Schedule of Findings and Responses	15
Performance Audit of Measure E:	
Independent Auditor's Report on Proposition 39 Compliance Requirements	16
Background Information	17
Objectives	18
Scope of the Audit	18
Procedures Performed	19
Conclusion	20
Schedule of Findings and Responses	21

## FINANCIAL AUDIT OF MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Board of Trustees
The Measure E Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
El Camino Community College District

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying Measure E financial statements of the El Camino Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund of the District as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

VICENTI, LLOYD & STUTZMAN LLP Glendora, California
\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016



## BALANCE SHEET MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND June 30, 2015

Cash in county treasury Accounts receivable	\$	106,640,919 376,832
Accounts receivable	<u></u>	376,832
	Φ	
Total Assets	\$	107,017,751
Liabilities and Fund Balance		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	4,109,216
Total Liabilities		4,109,216
Fund Balance		
Restricted		102,908,535
Total Fund Balance		102,908,535
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$	107,017,751



## STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Revenues	
Interest and investment income	\$ 869,944
<b>Total Revenues</b>	869,944
Expenditures	
Salaries and benefits	102,292
Supplies	12,776
Other services	3,903,020
Capital outlay	36,111,580
Total Expenditures	40,129,668
Net change in fund balance	(39,259,724)
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year	142,168,259
Fund Balance - End of Year	\$ 102,908,535



## STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL - MEASURE E REVENUE BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Budget *	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenues			
Interest and investment income	\$ 900,000	\$ 869,944	\$ (30,056)
Total Revenues	900,000	869,944	(30,056)
Expenditures			
Salaries and benefits		102,292	(102,292)
Supplies		12,776	(12,776)
Other services	20,109,619	3,903,020	16,206,599
Capital outlay	120,700,000	36,111,580	84,588,420
Total Expenditures	140,809,619	40,129,668	100,679,951
Net change in fund balance	\$ (139,909,619)	(39,259,724)	\$ 100,649,895
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year		142,168,259	
Fund Balance - End of Year		\$ 102,908,535	

<sup>\*</sup> The budget reflects amounts remaining and available for current and subsequent years and does not necessarily coincide with actual planned revenues and expenditures in the current year.



### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:**

#### **ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units* issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

#### **FUND STRUCTURE**

The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance is a statement of financial activities of the Revenue Bond Construction Fund related to the current reporting period. Fund expenditures frequently include amounts for land, buildings, equipment, transfers to other funds, etc. Consequently, these statements do not purport to present the result of operations or the net income or loss for the period as would a statement of income for a profit-type organization.

#### BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Revenue Bond Construction Fund is maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. As such, revenues are recognized when they become susceptible to accrual, which is to say, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered).

Cash in the County Treasury is recorded at cost, which approximates fair value.

#### **BUDGET**

The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual includes a column entitled "Budget". The amounts in this column represent the budget adopted by the Board and all amendments throughout the year.



### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES: (continued)**

#### **FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATION**

The governmental fund financial statements present fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent. Amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation are considered restricted. The fund balance of the Revenue Bond Construction Fund is therefore classified as restricted.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Revenue Bond Construction Fund are determined by its measurement focus. The Revenue Bond Construction funds are accounted for on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The reported fund balance is considered a measure of "available spendable resources". Thus, the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Revenue Bond Construction Fund are accounted for in the basic financial statements of the District.

#### **ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS - CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY:**

In accordance with Education Code Section 41001, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the Los Angeles County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. These pooled funds are carried at cost which approximates fair value. Fair value of the pooled investments at June 30, 2015 was measured at 99.9% of amortized cost.

The county is authorized to deposit cash and invest excess funds by California Government Code Section 53648 et. seq. The county is restricted by Government Code Section 53635 pursuant to Section 53601 to invest in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. The funds maintained by the county are either secured by federal depository insurance or are collateralized. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

#### **NOTE 3 - EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER APPROPRIATIONS:**

Excess of expenditures over appropriations, by major object accounts occurred in the following:

Salaries and benefits \$102,292 Supplies 12,776

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 4 - BONDED DEBT:**

On November 5, 2002, the District voters authorized through Measure E the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds totaling \$394,516,464 which provides that proceeds of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and improvement of real property.

On November 6, 2012, the District voters authorized through Measure E (2012) the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds totaling \$350,000,000 which provides that proceeds of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and improvement of real property. As of June 30, 2015 there have been no bonds issued related to the Measure E (2012) bond election.

The outstanding related bonded debt for the District for Measure E at June 30, 2015 is:

	Date of Issue	Interest Rate %	Maturity Date	Amount of Original Issue	Outstanding July 1, 2014	Issued Current Year	Redeemed Current Year	Outstanding June 30, 2015
2005 Refunding	8/30/2005	3.0 - 5.0%	8/1/2022	\$ 40,252,335	\$ 27,113,382	\$	\$ 1,095,223	\$ 26,018,159
Series B	9/12/2006	3.75 - 5.0%	8/1/2031	150,000,000	84,635,000		4,685,000	79,950,000
Series C	8/23/2012	4.0-5.0%	8/1/2038	180,812,882	180,812,882		181,716	180,631,166
2012 Refunding	8/23/2012	2.0-5.0%	8/1/2023	41,755,000	41,490,000			41,490,000
				\$ 412,820,217	\$ 334,051,264	\$ -	\$ 5,961,939	\$ 328,089,325

#### 2005 Refunding

On August 30, 2005, \$40,252,335 of general obligation bonds were sold in order to refund a portion of Series A bonds. The annual debt service requirements for the 2005 Refunding, as of June 30, 2015, are as follows:

Year Ended			Accreted Interest	
June 30,	 Principal	 Interest	 component	 Total
2016	\$ 973,159	\$ 1,252,250	\$ 2,096,841	\$ 4,322,250
2017	3,075,000	1,175,375		4,250,375
2018	3,230,000	1,017,750		4,247,750
2019	3,390,000	852,250		4,242,250
2020	3,560,000	678,500		4,238,500
2021-2023	 11,790,000	903,500		 12,693,500
	\$ 26,018,159	\$ 5,879,625	\$ 2,096,841	\$ 33,994,625

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 4 - BONDED DEBT: (continued)**

#### Series B

On September 12, 2006, \$150,000,000 of general obligation bonds were sold. The annual debt service requirements for Series B, as of June 30, 2015, are as follows:

Year Ended				
June 30,	Principal		Interest	 Total
2016	\$	4,880,000	\$ 3,644,075	\$ 8,524,075
2017		5,090,000	3,432,213	8,522,213
2018			3,324,050	3,324,050
2019			3,324,050	3,324,050
2020			3,324,050	3,324,050
2021-2025		7,395,000	16,444,619	23,839,619
2026-2030		42,585,000	9,994,356	52,579,356
2031-2032		20,000,000	 961,163	 20,961,163
	\$	79,950,000	\$ 44,448,575	\$ 124,398,575

#### Series C

On August 23, 2012, \$180,812,882 of general obligation bonds were sold. The annual debt service requirements for Series C, as of June 30, 2015, are as follows:

			Ac	creted	
Year Ended			In	terest	
June 30,	Principal	 Interest	Con	ponent	 Total
2016	\$	\$ 1,360,000	\$		\$ 1,360,000
2017	481,813	1,360,000		113,187	1,955,000
2018	1,142,830	1,360,000		347,170	2,850,000
2019	1,656,420	1,360,000		623,580	3,640,000
2020	2,140,084	1,360,000		969,916	4,470,000
2021-2025	25,639,653	6,800,000	11	,575,347	44,015,000
2026-2030	39,782,656	6,800,000	37	,072,344	83,655,000
2031-2035	47,474,478	6,800,000	80	,180,522	134,455,000
2036-2039	62,313,232	 3,400,000	81	,299,385	 147,012,617
	\$ 180,631,166	\$ 30,600,000	\$ 212	2,181,451	\$ 423,412,617

### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 4 - BONDED DEBT: (continued)**

#### **2012 Refunding**

On August 23, 2012, \$41,755,000 of general obligation bonds were sold in order to refund a portion of Series B bonds. The annual debt service requirements for the 2012 Refunding, as of June 30, 2015, are as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$	\$ 1,968,950	\$ 1,968,950
2017		1,968,950	1,968,950
2018	5,170,000	1,865,550	7,035,550
2019	5,385,000	1,654,450	7,039,450
2020	5,600,000	1,406,750	7,006,750
2021-2024	25,335,000	2,610,625	27,945,625
	\$ 41,490,000	\$ 11,475,275	\$ 52,965,275

Capital appreciation bonds were issued as part of the 2005 refunding and Series C issuances. Prior to their applicable maturity dates, each capital appreciation bond will accrete interest on the principal component, with all interest accreting through the applicable maturity date and payable only upon maturity or prior payment of the principal component. Accreted interest accrued has been reflected in the long term debt balance on the District's financial statements.

The repayment of the debt related to the General Obligation Bonds is accounted for in the District's Bond Interest and Redemption Fund which is part of the District's basic financial statements. In addition, the long term debt is reported in the government wide statements of the District's basic financial statements and is not part of the financial statements reported herein.

### NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:**

#### A. Purchase Commitments

As of June 30, 2015, the District was committed under various capital expenditure purchase agreements for bond projects totaling approximately \$25.7 million.

#### **B.** Litigation

The District is involved in claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the District's Measure E financial statements.

#### **NOTE 6 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:**

#### A. 2016 Refunding Bonds

The District sold \$85,825,000 of General Obligation Refunding Bonds on January 28, 2016. The bonds were sold to currently refund the outstanding 2005 Refunding Bonds and advance refund a portion of the District's outstanding Series B Bonds.

#### B. Measure E (2012) Series A Bonds

The District sold \$100,000,000 General Obligation, Measure E (2012) Series A on January 28, 2016. The bonds were sold with an interest rate of 2% to 5% and mature in 2045. The bonds were sold to finance Measure E (2012) voter approved projects.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Trustees
The Measure E Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
El Camino Community College District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Measure E financial statements of the El Camino Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

VICENTI, LLOYD & STUTZMAN LLP Glendora, California
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016



#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES June 30, 2015

There were no findings related to the financial audit of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015. In addition, there were no findings related to the financial audit of the Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the year ended June 30, 2014.

#### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF MEASURE E

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PROPOSITION 39 COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Board of Trustees
The Measure E Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
El Camino Community College District

We have conducted a performance audit of the El Camino Community College District (the "District") Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on page 18 of this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Measure E General Obligation Bonds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal control of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District expended Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, and approved by the voters, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

VICENTI, LLOYD & STUTZMAN LLP Glendora, California , 2016



## PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2015

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In November, 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions.

On November 5, 2002, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure E) of the District was approved by the voters of that District. Measure E authorized the District to issue up to \$394,516,464 of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

On November 6, 2012, a general obligation bond proposition Measure E (2012) of the District was approved by the voters of that District. Measure E (2012) authorized the District to issue up to \$350,000,000 of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions. As of June 30, 2015 there have been no bonds issued nor expenditures made related to the Measure E (2012) bond election.

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39, and related State legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District established a Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and appointed its members. The principal purpose of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, as set out in state law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Measure E bond authorization. The Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund have been expended only for the authorized bond projects.



# PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2015

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of our performance audit were to:

- Determine the expenditures charged to the District Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund.
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure E in November 2002.
- Provide the District Trustees and the Measure E Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
  with a performance audit as required under the provisions of the California Constitution
  and California Education Code.

#### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. The sample of expenditures tested included object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2015, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.



# PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2015

#### PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, for the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and Measure E with regards to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed the projects listed to be funded with general obligation bond proceeds as set out in the Measure E election documents.
- We selected a sample of expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the authorized bond projects. Our sample included 44 transactions totaling \$28,318,338. This represents 71% of total expenditures of \$40,129,668.
- We verified on a sample basis that funds from the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund were expended on the authorized bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds from the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund were used for salaries only to the extent employees perform work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.



## PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2015

#### **CONCLUSION**

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects. Further, it was noted that Measure E funds held and expended by the District were used for salaries only to the extent that employees performed work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.



# PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE E PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2015

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

There were no findings related to the performance audit of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. In addition, there were no findings related to the performance audit of the Measure E Revenue Bond Construction Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.