

El Camino College Compton Center

Custom IPEDS Data Feedback Report 2016

Summary of Findings



The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) distributes a standard report to college and university leaders each year entitled *IPEDS Data Feedback Report*. The report summarizes demographic, enrollment and achievement data from the previous year (in this report, data through 2014-15 academic year and Fall 2015), and the present summary includes comparative references from earlier reports. *Median* results from a comparison group developed by each institution are displayed next to the institutional results. Not to be confused with an average, the *median* is simply the middle number of an ordered group of numbers. The darker bars on all charts represent El Camino College Compton Center while the lighter bars represent the comparison group median. Methodological notes are on pages 8 through 10 of the report.

Comparison groups are auto-selected by IPEDS based on institutional size, location and type (e.g., public, 2-year). Given Compton Center's distinct student body and unique history, the automated group does not serve as a meaningful yardstick for comparison. In its place, a customized comparison group was used which includes:

- Baltimore City College (MD)
- Cerritos College
- LA Southwest College
- LA Trade Tech
- Merritt College
- West LA College

These colleges were selected because of their similarity in student demographics, institutional size, and urban/suburban location. With the exceptions of LA Trade Tech and Baltimore City College, this is the same comparison group used for Compton's [Academic Performance Profile](#).

Figure 1. Race/Ethnicity and Gender

The gender distribution at Compton Center is fairly similar to the comparison colleges', but Compton has a substantially larger percentage of Hispanic/Latino students (i.e., 19 percentage points higher than the group's median¹). Although the Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander population is small, IPEDS reports continuously indicate this population is fairly unique to Compton when compared with other peer institutions.

Figure 2. Headcount and FTE

Compton Center's institutional size is slightly smaller by both headcount and Total FTE² enrollment compared to the median. Full-time enrollment is slightly less than the median

¹ The median college, whose numbers represent the midpoint of the peer group, may be different for each measure.

² FTE refers to full-time equivalent student enrollment. See *Methodological Notes* on Page 8 of the main report.

(about 15% less), while part-time enrollment is substantially less than the median (over 20% less). Because of this, it should be expected that any data based on Compton's enrollment will also be less than the peer group's median.

Figure 3. Number of Degrees and Certificates

In 2014-15, Compton Center awarded fewer Associate degrees (316) than the peer median (423), but it has reduced the gap from the previous year (262 vs. 435). Compton also awarded fewer mid-term certificates (26 vs. 158) and short-term certificates (78 vs. 248), and the gap between total certificates awarded is approximately the same as the previous year (i.e., about 75% less than the median). These gaps may be related to the prevalence of part-time students, or this may be a result of Compton's smaller institutional size and enrollment compared to the peer group. Local data indicates the number of program awards has consistently increased over the past several years, remaining on track with or even surpassing goals listed in the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan.

Figures 4-5. Academic Year Tuition and Fees

These figures show 3- and 4-year trends of college costs. Figure 4 shows that in 2015-16, Compton Center had slightly lower tuition and fees (\$1,142) than the peer group median (\$1,220). Figure 5 shows that the average net price of attendance in 2014-2015 was about 7% higher for Compton Center than its peer group's median.

Figures 6-9. Grant/Scholarship Recipients

The percentage of *all students* receiving some form of reported financial aid was 51% in 2014-15, much lower than the peer group median of 67% (Figure 8). Compton Center students also received fewer Pell grants (32%), compared to the group median (40%). Figure 9 shows that the average Pell award amount (in dollars) was slightly lower than the median, but the average amount of any financial aid received at Compton was almost 10% higher than the median. Note that Compton Center students do not receive federal loans.

Figure 6 indicates financial aid rates specifically for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking (FTF) students. The percentage of FTF students receiving any kind of aid (71%) is somewhat lower than the median rate (84%). However, the rates of FTF students receiving federal grants and Pell grants were closer to the median (61% vs. 67% in both cases).

Figure 10-13. Graduation and Transfer-Out Rates

These figures reflect a variety of graduation and transfer rates, along with fall-to-fall persistence (which IPEDS refers to as *Retention*).

Graduation rates for the Fall 2012 FTF cohort at Compton Center were objectively low, with a 13% overall graduation rate and a 9% transfer-out rate measured in 2015 (Figure 11). These rates are 4 points and 2 points less than the peer group medians, respectively. The *transfer-out* rate measures all college transitions, including to other community colleges. California

Community College and local transfer rates, such as those reported in the [Annual Factbook](#), are better measures of actual 4-year transfer.

Figure 12 represents graduation rates for the Fall 2012 FTF cohort, disaggregated by student ethnicity. Although the overall rates are 4 percentage points lower than the group median, White and Black or African American students graduated at rates equal to the median. However, these rates largely depend on the structure of the cohort. While IPEDS data for the Fall 2012 FTF cohort indicates lower graduation rates for Asian and Hispanic/Latino students, the previous year's report based on the Fall 2011 FTF cohort indicated graduation rates that were 10 points higher than the median for Asian students, and 2 points higher than the median for Hispanic/Latino students. For disaggregated completion rates of all students who received program awards in the 2015-16 academic year, see the [2015-16 Time to Completion Report](#).

College fall-to-fall retention rates in Figure 10 show higher persistence for full-time students (63% vs 59%) and slightly lower persistence for part-time students (32% vs. 35%), compared to the group medians. Looking at the time-to-degree measures in Figure 13 (based on the Fall 2011 cohort), Compton has slightly lower rates of completion for all time spans (i.e., normal time, 150% time, and 200% time). Because these rates are cohort-based, it is difficult to explain the year-to-year variation in performance when comparing peer institutions (e.g., Compton's 2010 cohort, in last year's report, had slightly higher rates of completion for all time spans).

Figure 14-17. Staffing, Salaries, Revenues, and Expenses

Similar to Figure 3, the comparative staffing levels reported in Figure 16 may reflect differences in enrollment levels, local definitions and institutional organization, so comparison is less meaningful. The revenue sources and expenses detailed in Figures 14 and 15 are also affected by institutional size and local definitions.

Figure 17 compares average salaries of full-time instructional staff and indicates rates are slightly lower at Compton compared to the median institution, but there is insufficient information from other colleges to draw meaningful conclusions.

Conclusion

Compton Center benefits from a customized comparison group reflecting similar features of the institution. On several measures of student achievement, Compton performed similarly to or slightly lower than the custom group median. The notable exceptions are the full-time persistence rate, where Compton outperformed the group median, and the program award rates, which were substantially less than the group median. However, many of these rates are based on uncontrollable characteristics such as institutional size, enrollment, and local definitions. While these measures may still reflect the unique history of Compton, the comparable persistence and consistent increase in program awards (as seen in the [local data](#)) show promise for the future.

IPEDS DATA FEEDBACK REPORT 2016

What Is IPEDS?

The Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) is a system of survey components that collects data from about 7,500 institutions that provide postsecondary education across the United States. IPEDS collects institution-level data on student enrollment, graduation rates, student charges, program completions, faculty, staff, and finances.

These data are used at the federal and state level for policy analysis and development; at the institutional level for benchmarking and peer analysis; and by students and parents, through the College Navigator (<http://collegenavigator.ed.gov>), an online tool to aid in the college search process. For more information about IPEDS, see <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds>.

What Is the Purpose of This Report?

The Data Feedback Report is intended to provide institutions a context for examining the data they submitted to IPEDS. The purpose of this report is to provide institutional executives a useful resource and to help improve the quality and comparability of IPEDS data.

What Is in This Report?

As suggested by the IPEDS Technical Review Panel, the figures in this report provide selected indicators for your institution and a comparison group of institutions. The figures are based on data collected during the 2015-16 IPEDS collection cycle and are the most recent data available. This report provides a list of pre-selected comparison group institutions and the criteria used for their selection. Additional information about these indicators and the pre-selected comparison group are provided in the Methodological Notes at the end of the report.

Where Can I Do More with IPEDS Data?

Each institution can access previous Data Feedback Reports as far back as 2005 and customize this latest report by using a different comparison group and IPEDS variables of its choosing. To download archived reports or customize the current Data Feedback Report (DFR), please visit our web site at <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/Home/UseTheData>.



El Camino College-Compton Center
Compton, CA



COMPARISON GROUP

Comparison group data are included to provide a context for interpreting your institution's statistics. If your institution did not define a custom comparison group for this report by July 17, NCES selected a comparison group for you. (In this case, the characteristics used to define the comparison group appears below.) The Customize Data Feedback Report functionality on the IPEDS Data Center (<http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/datacenter/>) can be used to reproduce the figures in this report using different peer groups.

The custom comparison group chosen by El Camino College-Compton Center includes the following 6 institutions:


- ▶ Baltimore City Community College (Baltimore, MD)
- ▶ Cerritos College (Norwalk, CA)
- ▶ Los Angeles Southwest College (Los Angeles, CA)
- ▶ Los Angeles Trade Technical College (Los Angeles, CA)
- ▶ Merritt College (Oakland, CA)
- ▶ West Los Angeles College (Culver City, CA)

The figures in this report have been organized and ordered into the following topic areas:

1) Admissions (only for non-open-admissions schools)	[No charts applicable]	
2) Student Enrollment	Fig. 1 and 2	Pg. 3
3) Awards	Fig. 3	Pg. 3
4) Charges and Net Price	Fig. 4 and 5	Pg. 4
5) Student Financial Aid	Fig. 6, 7, 8 and 9	Pg. 4 and 5
6) Military Benefits*	[No charts applicable]	
7) Retention and Graduation Rates	Fig. 10, 11, 12 and 13	Pg. 5 and 6
8) Finance	Fig. 14 and 15	Pg. 6 and 7
9) Staff	Fig. 16 and 17	Pg. 7
10) Libraries*	[No charts applicable]	

*These figures only appear in customized Data Feedback Reports (DFR), which are available through Use the Data portal on the IPEDS website.


Figure 1. Percent of all students enrolled, by race/ethnicity, and percent of students who are women: Fall 2015



NOTE: For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, see the Methodological Notes. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100%. See "Use of Median Values for Comparison Group" for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2015, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 2. Unduplicated 12-month headcount (2014-15), total FTE enrollment (2014-15), and full- and part-time fall enrollment (Fall 2015)



NOTE: For details on calculating full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, see Calculating FTE in the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2015, 12-month Enrollment component and Spring 2016, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 3. Number of subbaccalaureate degrees and certificates awarded, by level: 2014-15



NOTE: N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2015, Completions component.


Figure 4. Academic year tuition and required fees for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates: 2012-13 to 2015-16



NOTE: The tuition and required fees shown here are the lowest reported from the categories of in-district, in-state, and out-of-state. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2015, Institutional Characteristics component.


Figure 5. Average net price of attendance for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students, who were awarded grant or scholarship aid: 2012-13 to 2014-15



NOTE: Average net price is for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students and is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship awarded aid from the total cost of attendance. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the average room and board and other expenses. For details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2015, Institutional Characteristics component; Winter 2015-16, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 6. Percent of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students who were awarded grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans, by type of aid: 2014-15



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution. Federal grants includes Pell grants and other federal grants. Any loans includes federal loans and other loans awarded to students. For details on how students are counted for financial aid reporting, see Cohort Determination in the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 7. Average amounts of grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans awarded to full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students, by type of aid: 2014-15



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution. Federal grants includes Pell grants and other federal grants. Any loans includes federal loans and other loans awarded to students. Average amounts of aid were calculated by dividing the total aid awarded by the total number of recipients in each institution. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 8. Percent of all undergraduates awarded aid, by type of aid: 2014-15



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid awarded from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes only federal loans awarded to students. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 9. Average amount of aid awarded to all undergraduates, by type of aid: 2014-15



NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes federal loans to students. Average amounts of aid were calculated by dividing the total aid awarded by the total number of recipients in each institution. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Student Financial Aid component.


Figure 10. Retention rates of full-time, first time degree/certificate seeking students (Fall 2014 cohort)



NOTE: Retention rates are measured from the fall of first enrollment to the following fall. Academic reporting institutions report retention data as of the institution's official fall reporting date or as of October 15, 2014. Program reporters determine the cohort with enrollment any time between August 1-October 31, 2014 and retention based on August 1, 2015. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2015, Fall Enrollment component.


Figure 11. Graduation and transfer-out rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion (2012 cohort)



NOTE: Graduation rate cohort includes all full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students. Graduation and transfer-out rates are the Student Right-to-Know rates. Only institutions with mission to prepare students to transfer are required to report transfer out. For more details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Graduation Rates component.


Figure 12. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion, by race/ethnicity: 2012 cohort



NOTE: For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, see the Methodological Notes. The graduation rates are the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rates. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100%. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, Graduation Rates component.


Figure 13. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within normal time, and 150% and 200% of normal time to completion: 2011 cohort



NOTE: The 150% graduation rate is the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rates; the Normal time and 200% rates are calculated using the same methodology. For details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Winter 2015-16, 200% Graduation Rates component.


Figure 14. Percent distribution of core revenues, by source: Fiscal year 2015



NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For a detailed definition of core revenues, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2015, Finance component.

Figure 15. Core expenses per FTE enrollment, by function: Fiscal year 2015




NOTE: Expenses per full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, particularly instruction, may be inflated because finance data includes all core expenses while FTE reflects credit activity only. For details on calculating FTE enrollment and a detailed definition of core expenses, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.
 SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Fall 2015, 12-month Enrollment component and Spring 2015, Finance component.

Figure 16. Full-time equivalent staff, by occupational category: Fall 2015



NOTE: Graduate assistants are not included. For calculation details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.
 SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2015, Human Resources component.

Figure 17. Average salaries of full-time instructional non-medical staff equated to 9-month contracts, by academic rank: Academic year 2015-16



NOTE: Average salaries of full-time instructional non-medical staff equated to 9-month contracts was calculated by multiplying the average monthly salary by 9. The average monthly salary was calculated by dividing the total salary outlays by the total number of months covered by staff on 9, 10, 11 and 12-month contracts. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values.
 SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS): Spring 2015, Human Resources component.

METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Overview

This report is based on data supplied by institutions to IPEDS during the 2015-16 data collection year. Response rates exceeded 99% for most surveys. Detailed response tables are included in IPEDS First Look reports at <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010>.

Use of Median Values for Comparison Group

The value for the comparison institution is compared to the median value for the comparison group for each statistic included in the figure. If more than one statistic is presented in a figure, the median values are determined separately for each indicator or statistic. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with fewer than three values. Where percentage distributions are presented, median values may not add to 100%. To access all the data used to create the figures included in this report, go to 'Use the Data' portal on the IPEDS website (<http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds>).

Missing Statistics

If a statistic is not reported for your institution, the omission indicates that the statistic is not relevant to your institution and the data were not collected. Not all notes may be applicable to your report.

Use of Imputed Data

All IPEDS data are subject to imputation for total (institutional) and partial (item) nonresponse. If necessary, imputed values were used to prepare your report.

Data Confidentiality

IPEDS data are not collected under a pledge of confidentiality.

Disaggregation of Data by Race/Ethnicity

When applicable, some statistics are disaggregated by race/ethnicity. Data disaggregated by race/ethnicity have been reported using the 1997 Office of Management and Budget categories. Detailed information about the race/ethnicity categories can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/reic/resource.asp>.

Cohort Determination for Reporting Student Financial Aid and Graduation Rates

Student cohorts for reporting Student Financial Aid and Graduation Rates data are based on the reporting type of the institution. For institutions that report based on an academic year (those operating on standard academic terms), student counts and cohorts are based on fall term data. Student counts and cohorts for program reporters (those that do not operate on standard academic terms) are based on unduplicated counts of students enrolled during a full 12-month period.

DESCRIPTION OF STATISTICS USED IN THE FIGURES

Admissions (only for non-open-admissions schools)

Admissions and Test Score Data

Admissions and test score data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. Applicants include only those students who fulfilled all requirements for consideration for admission and who were notified of one of the following actions: admission, non-admission, placement on a wait list, or application withdrawn (by applicant or institution). Admitted applicants (admissions) include wait-listed students who were subsequently offered admission. Early decision, early action, and students who began studies during the summer prior to the fall reporting period are included. For customized Data Feedback Reports, test scores are presented only if they are required for admission.

Student Enrollment

FTE Enrollment

The full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment used in this report is the sum of the institution's FTE undergraduate enrollment and FTE graduate enrollment (as calculated from or reported on the 12-month Enrollment component). Undergraduate and graduate FTE are estimated using 12-month instructional activity (credit and/or contact hours). See "Calculation of FTE Students (using instructional activity)" in the IPEDS Glossary at <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/glossary/>.

Total Entering Undergraduate Students

Total entering students are students at the undergraduate level, both full- and part-time, new to the institution in the fall term (or the prior summer term who returned in the fall). This includes all first-time undergraduate students, students transferring into the institution at the undergraduate level, and non-degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates entering in the fall. Only degree-granting, academic year reporting institutions provide total entering student data.

Charges and Net Price

Average Institutional Net Price

Average net price is calculated for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who were awarded grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution anytime during the full aid year. For public institutions, this includes only students who paid the in-state or in-district tuition rate. Other sources of grant aid are excluded. Average net price is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship aid from the total cost of attendance. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the average room and board and other expenses.

For the purpose of the IPEDS reporting, aid awarded refers to financial aid that was awarded to, and accepted by, a student. This amount may differ from the aid amount that is disbursed to a student.

Retention and Graduation Rates

Graduation Rates and Transfer-out Rate

Graduation rates are those developed to satisfy the requirements of the Student Right-to-Know Act and Higher Education Act, as amended, and are defined as the total number of individuals from a given cohort of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who completed a degree or certificate within a given percent of normal time to complete all requirements of the degree or certificate program before the ending status date of August 31, 2014; divided by the total number of students in the cohort of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates minus any allowable exclusions. Institutions are permitted to exclude from the cohort students who died or were totally and permanently disabled; those who left school to serve in the armed forces or were called up to active duty; those who left to serve with a foreign aid service of the federal government, such as the Peace Corps; and those who left to serve on an official church mission.

Transfer-out rate is the total number of students from the cohort who are known to have transferred out of the reporting institution (without earning a degree/award) and subsequently re-enrolled at another institution within the same time period; divided by the same adjusted cohort (initial cohort minus allowable exclusions) as described above. Only institutions with a mission that includes providing substantial preparation for students to enroll in another eligible institution are required to report transfers out.

Retention Rates

Retention rates are measures at which students persist in their educational program at an institution, expressed as a percentage. For four-year institutions, this is the percentage of first-time bachelors (or equivalent) degree-seeking undergraduates from the previous fall who are again enrolled in the current fall. For all other institutions this is the percentage of first-time degree/certificate-seeking students from the previous fall who either re-enrolled or successfully completed their program by the current fall. The full-time retention rate is calculated using the percentage of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates, while the part-time rate is calculated using the percentage of part-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates.

Finance

Core Revenues

Core revenues for public institutions reporting under GASB standards include tuition and fees; state and local appropriations; government grants and contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts; sales and services of educational activities; investment income; other operating and

non-operating sources; and other revenues and additions (federal and capital appropriations and grants and additions to permanent endowments). Core revenues for private, not-for-profit institutions (and a small number of public institutions) reporting under FASB standards include tuition and fees; government appropriations (federal, state, and local); government grants and contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts (including contributions from affiliated entities); investment return; sales and services of educational activities; and other sources. Core revenues for private, for-profit institutions reporting under FASB standards include tuition and fees; government appropriations, grants, and contracts (federal, state, and local); private grants and contracts; investment income; sales and services of educational activities; and other sources. At degree-granting institutions, core revenues exclude revenues from auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores, dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations. Nondegree-granting institutions do not report revenue from auxiliary enterprises in a separate category. These amounts may be included in the core revenues from other sources.

Core Expenses

Core expenses include expenses for instruction, research, public service, academic support, institutional support, student services, scholarships and fellowships (net of discounts and allowances), and other expenses. Expenses for operation and maintenance of plant, depreciation, and interest are allocated to each of the other functions. Core expenses at degree-granting institutions exclude expenses for auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores, dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations. Nondegree-granting institutions do not report expenses for auxiliary enterprises in a separate category. These amounts may be included in the core expenses as other expenses.

Endowment Assets

Endowment assets, for public institutions under GASB standards, and private, not-for-profit institutions under FASB standards, include gross investments of endowment funds, term endowment funds, and funds functioning as endowment for the institution and any of its foundations and other affiliated organizations. Private, for-profit institutions under FASB do not hold or report endowment assets.

Equated Instructional Non-Medical Staff Salaries

Institutions reported total salary outlays by academic rank and gender, and the number of staff by academic rank, contract length (9-, 10-, 11-, and 12-month contracts), and gender. The total number of months covered by salary outlays was calculated by multiplying the number of staff reported for each contract length period by the number of months of the contract, and summing across all contract length periods. The weighted average monthly salary for each academic rank and gender was calculated by dividing the total salary outlays by the total number of months covered. The weighted average monthly salary was then multiplied by 9 to determine an equated 9-month salary for each rank.

Salaries, Wages, and Benefits

Salaries, wages, and benefits, for public institutions under GASB standards, and private, not-for-profit institutions under FASB standards, include amounts paid as compensation for services to all employees regardless of the duration of service, and amounts made to or on behalf of an individual over and above that received in the form of a salary or wage. Frequently, benefits are associated with an insurance payment. Private, for-profit institutions under FASB standards do not report salaries.

Staff

Student-to-Faculty Ratio

The guidance provided to institutions for calculating their student-to-faculty ratio is as follows: the number of FTE students (using Fall Enrollment data) divided by the total FTE instructional staff (using the total Primarily instruction + Instruction/research/public service staff reported on the EAP section of the Human Resources component and adding any not primarily instructional staff that are teaching a credit course). For this calculation, FTE for students is equal to the number of full-time students plus one-third the number of part-time students; FTE for instructional staff is similarly calculated. Students enrolled in "stand-alone" graduate or professional programs (such as medicine, law, veterinary, dentistry, social work, or public health) and instructional staff teaching in these programs are excluded from the FTE calculations.

Additional Methodological Information

Additional methodological information on the IPEDS components can be found in the publications available at <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010>.

Additional definitions of variables used in this report can be found in the IPEDS online glossary available at <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/glossary/>.